## Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority **Well Protection Program Fee** 5-Year Term: 2009 ~ 2013 (5 Years after Program Inception) Reduced Surface Water Availability **Program Term** Proposed Project Scenario B Scenario C **Well Capacity Restoration Cost Component** Number of Impacted Domestic Wells 1 99 252 Number of Impacted Agricultural Wells <sup>1</sup> 1 3 Subtotal Well Capacity Restoration \$487,066 \$1,267,722 Cost 2 **Internal Administrative Cost Component** Number of Impacted Parcels 3 3,673 4,882 Number of Parcels Reponded 4 918 1,221 Number of Parcels Visited 5 230 305 Cost for Impacted Wells Verification 6 \$90,350 \$120,089 Cost for Program Administration 7 \$96,117 \$96,117 **Subtotal Internal Administrative Cost** \$186,466 \$216,206 **External Administrative Cost Component** County of Sacramento TBD TBD City of Elk Grove TBD TBD City of Folsom \$0 \$0 City of Rancho Cordova TBD TBD **Subtotal External Administrative Cost TBD TBD** TOTAL WELL PROTECTION \$673,533 \$1,483,928 **PROGRAM COST** Number of New Building Permits Per Year County of Sacramento 8 2,500 2,500 TBD City of Folsom SOI **TBD** Golden State Water Company TBD TBD TBD **TBD** Total New Building Permits TBD **TBD WELL PROTECTION FEE (Per EDU)** \$54 \$119

## Notes:

All costs are adjusted to 2008 dollars (The current average ENR/CCI for 20 cities is 7880, and 9101 for San Francisco)

- Number of impacted domestic and agricultural wells and costs to fix the impacted wells were determined in 2005 Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan (CSCGMP), Appendix E, "Impact Analysis for Well Protection Program" by WRIME, Table 4-1.
- 2. See the same document mentioned in Note 1, Table 4-2. Costs are adjusted to 2008 dollars.
- 3. Number of impacted parcels is based on the "Refined Impact Analysis for Well Program" by WRIME in 2008.
- 4. Assume 25% of potential impacted parcels responded.
- 5. Assume 25% responded parcels claiming to have impacted wells and require verification.
- 6. Assume 3 parcels a day verified by certificate inspector.
- 7. Assume 4 hours per week for program administration.
- 8. Based on Bond Fesibility Report, Table 3-8, April 2007.

## Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority **Well Protection Program Fee** 14-Year Term: 2009 ~ 2022 (11 Years after Vineyard Surface WTP Online) Reduced Surface Water Availability **Program Term** Proposed Project Scenario B Scenario C **Well Capacity Restoration Cost Component** Number of Impacted Domestic Wells 1 99 252 Number of Impacted Agricultural Wells <sup>1</sup> 1 3 Subtotal Well Capacity Restoration \$487,066 \$1,267,722 Cost 2 **Internal Administrative Cost Component** Number of Impacted Parcels 3 3,673 4,882 Number of Parcels Reponded 4 918 1,221 Number of Parcels Visited 5 230 305 Cost for Impacted Wells Verification 6 \$90,350 \$120,089 Cost for Program Administration 7 \$269,127 \$269,127 **Subtotal Internal Administrative Cost** \$359,477 \$389,216 **External Administrative Cost Component** County of Sacramento TBD **TBD** City of Elk Grove TBD TBD City of Folsom \$0 \$0 City of Rancho Cordova TBD TBD **Subtotal External Administrative Cost TBD TBD** TOTAL WELL PROTECTION \$1,210,840 \$2,052,562 **PROGRAM COST** Number of New Building Permits Per Year County of Sacramento 8 2,500 2,500 City of Folsom SOI TBD **TBD** Golden State Water Company TBD TBD TBD **TBD** Total New Building Permits TBD **TBD WELL PROTECTION FEE (Per EDU)** \$35 \$59

## Notes:

All costs are adjusted to 2008 dollars (The current average ENR/CCI for 20 cities is 7880, and 9101 for San Francisco)

- Number of impacted domestic and agricultural wells and costs to fix the impacted wells were determined in 2005 Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan (CSCGMP), Appendix E, "Impact Analysis for Well Protection Program" by WRIME, Table 4-1.
- 2. See the same document mentioned in Note 1, Table 4-2. Costs are adjusted to 2008 dollars.
- 3. Number of impacted parcels is based on the "Refined Impact Analysis for Well Program" by WRIME in 2008.
- 4. Assume 25% of potential impacted parcels responded.
- 5. Assume 25% responded parcels claiming to have impacted wells and require verification.
- 6. Assume 3 parcels a day verified by certificate inspector.
- 7. Assume 4 hours per week for program administration.
- 8. Based on Bond Fesibility Report, Table 3-8, April 2007.