# SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS Wednesday, May 11, 2011; 9:00 am 10060 Goethe Road Sacramento, CA 95827 (SASD South Conference Room No. 1212 – Sunset Maple)

The Board will discuss all items on this agenda, and may take action on any of those items, including information items and continued items. The Board may also discuss other items that do not appear on this agenda, but will not act on those items unless action is urgent, and a resolution is passed by a two-thirds (2/3) vote declaring that the need for action arose after posting of this agenda.

The public shall have the opportunity to directly address the Board on any item of interest before and during the Board's consideration of that item. Public comment on items within the jurisdiction of the Board is welcomed, subject to reasonable time limitations for each speaker.

# 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL – 9:00 a.m.

**2. PUBLIC COMMENT:** Members of the public who wish to address the Board may do so at this time. Please keep your comments to less than three minutes.

### 3. CONSENT CALENDAR

• Minutes of March 9, 2011 Board meeting. *Action: Approve Consent Calendar items* 

# 4. UPDATE ON GROUNDWATER CLEANUP PROGRESS AT MATHER FIELD

• Information presentation: William T. Hughes, ASE Inc. and Douglas Fortun, USAF.

## 5. BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT

• Review Budget Committee recommendations for Fiscal Year 2011/2012 Action: Approve resolution adopting the Fiscal Year 2011/2012 budget recommendation for SCGA.

### 6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a) California State Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM)
- b) Groundwater Management in California
- c) Restoration Advisory Board
- d) USGS Groundwater Quality in the Sacramento Valley
- e) South Area Water Council
- f) Sacramento Water Recycling Coalition

## 7. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS

### ADJOURNMENT

## Upcoming meetings -

**Next SCGA Board of Directors Meeting** – Wednesday, July 13, 2011, 9 am; 10060 Goethe Road, South Conference Room No. 1212 (Sunset Maple).

Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Board Meeting May 11, 2011

# AGENDA ITEM 3: CONSENT CALENDER

# **BACKGROUND:**

Minutes from the March 9, 2011 SCGA Board meeting.

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Action: Approve Consent Calendar items.

# SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA) Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes March 9, 2011

LOCATION: 10060 Goethe Road, Room 1212 Sacramento, CA 95827 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

# **MINUTES:**

# 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Chair Herb Niederberger called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

The following meeting participants were in attendance:

Board Members (Alternate Rep): Darren Wilson, City of Elk Grove Jim Peifer, City of Sacramento Herb Niederberger, County of Sacramento Rick Bettis, Conservation Land Owners Stuart Helfand, Agricultural-Residential Edwin Smith, Public Agencies Self-Supplied Ed Crouse, Rancho Murieta Community Services District Jose Ramirez, Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

<u>Staff Members:</u> Darrell Eck, Executive Director Heather Hawke, Clerk Ping Chen Ramon Roybal

Others in Attendance: Brandy Guillory, Golden State Water Company

<u>Member Agencies Absent</u> City of Folsom City of Rancho Cordova Elk Grove Water Service Agricultural Interests Omochumne-Hartnell Water District

# 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

None

# 3. <u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u>

The draft meeting minutes for the meeting held on January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2011 were reviewed for final approval.

**Motion/Second/Carried** – Mr. Smith moved, seconded by Mr. Bettis, the motion carried unanimously to approve the minutes.

# 4. FISCAL YEAR 2011/2012 BUDGET

Mr. Niederberger asked for volunteers to serve on the budget committee. Mr. Crouse stated that he would volunteer as did Mr. Peifer, Mr. Ocenosak, and Mr. Niederberger. Mr. Eck to schedule a meeting for the budget committee to discuss proposed budget for recommendation to the board.

# 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Eck mentioned that on February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2011, staff member representatives of the Sacramento Groundwater Authority (SGA) and the West Placer Groundwater Management Plan (WPGMP) met to discuss implementation strategies and future coordination opportunities associated with the California State Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM) program. Currently, SCGA staff's focus is on developing a groundwater monitoring plan, as required by CASGEM, for implementation commencing around July 1, 2011.

Mr. Eck formally welcomed the new board members representing the Elk Grove Water District and the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District.

Mr. Eck reminded board members to submit a wet signature copy of the 2011 Conflict of Interest form which was due April 1<sup>st</sup>, 201. Mr. Eck reported that only three had been received by staff to date.

Mr. Eck reported that the California State Assembly Committee on Water, Parks, and Wildlife held an oversight hearing at the State Capitol on February 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 which addressed the management of California's groundwater resources covered the basic concepts of groundwater hydrology. Also discussed was the Legislative Analyst report from last March regarding improved management of the State's groundwater resources. Mr. Eck stated that the report was a part of the board package from May 12, 2010. Mr. Eck reported that the California State Groundwater Elevation Monitoring program was also addressed with individuals who provided case studies for various groundwater management programs in both north, central, and south central parts of the state. Also discussed were the current and future challenges associated with groundwater management in California specifically, an aspect of the previously mentioned Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) report, which alluded towards a push for tabbing the state for regulation of groundwater. Mr. Eck mentioned that the topic was a recurring theme of the discussion. Mr. Niederberger

echoed Mr. Eck's statement adding that, the affect that the days of unregulated groundwater usage in California are coming to an end. Mr. Niederberger added that he had a brief conversation with the new general manager of the Elk Grove Water District, Mark Madison stating that he is from the City of Stockton and will be a good addition.

# 6. DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

Mr. Ocenosak stated that he was pleased to serve on the SCGA Board and that Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD) was focused on moving forwarding with their recycled water efforts with a clear direction to try to get a framework together in order to get recycled water out to the south County.

Mr. Peifer reported that the City of Sacramento will be issuing an environmental document, within the next two weeks, for a groundwater well to be located in the south portion of the City. The environmental document will examine the potential draw down of water surface elevations within the vicinity of the well.

Mr. Bettis asked if there was any word on the grant applications for the Integrated Regional Water Management Planning (IRWMP). Mr. Eck responded that it was his understanding that a formal notification will not be made until May or June.

Mr. Helfand asked if there was receipt of a copy of a letter from the constituent who was denied of coverage under the North Vineyard Well Protection Program (NVWPP). Mr. Helfand reported that he had received a phone call from the constituent in which he informed them that since they did not register their well, there was nothing he could do. Mr. Helfand stated that the person said they were too busy to pay attention during the registration process. Mr. Niederberger explained that the constituent didn't register their well as part of the NVWPP and now the well is failing and a petition was made for inclusion in the program but because they did not follow through with all the steps to be included in the program, the Sacramento County Water Agency has denied the application. Mr. Niederberger further explained that the constituent had written to Supervisor Nottoli as part of an appeal mechanism in which the case goes before the Board of Directors for the Sacramento County Water Agency but that there was no administrative remedy for the constituent because they had ignored the registration process.

Mr. Crouse stated that as part of the Regional Water Authority's (RWA) master plan, SRCSD and Omochumne-Hartnell proposed a joint project. SRCSD has excess surface water rights of about 4,000 acre feet. Mr. Crouse stated that his agency, the Rancho Murieta Community Services District, was planning to partner with Omochumne-Hartnell who would install a diversion near Mooney Ranch to spill excess surface water during the winter for percolation into the groundwater table while SRCSD plans to extract that water during drought years. The project would require the drilling of a groundwater well. Mr. Crouse reported that the project is expected to cost between 1.5 - 2 million dollars and that an application for \$1 million grant will be made. Mr. Niederberger asked if they had a final engineer's report for SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA) Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes – Page 4 March 9, 2011

the project. Mr. Crouse said no and that they are somewhat far from finalizing the project and all that is available is a sketch of the project.

# ADJOURNMENT

**Upcoming Meetings –** 

**Next SCGA Board of Directors Meeting** – Wednesday, May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011. 10060 Goethe Road, Sacramento, CA; SASD South Conference Room 1212 (Sunset Maple).

By:

Chairperson

Date

Date

# AGENDA ITEM 4: UPDATE ON GROUNDWATER CLEANUP PROGRESS AT MATHER FIELD

# **BACKGROUND:**

Remediation of contaminated groundwater in and around Mather Field has been important to SCGA since adoption of the Groundwater Management Plan in 2006. William T. Hughes with ASE Inc. and Douglas Fortun with the US Air Force, will provide an update to the Board on their remediation activities.

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Information presentation: William T. Hughes, ASE Inc. and Douglas Fortun, USAF.

# AGENDA ITEM 5: BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT

# **BACKGROUND:**

The proposed 2011-2012 fiscal year budget was developed based on the program requirements (GMP Related Expenses) described in the Central Basin GMP. The budget also provides for overhead expenses (Staff Expenses) including the Executive Director, Administration Support, Legal Counsel, and Financial support. The proposed budget also includes funding for consultant services (Consultant Expenses). Based on the Board's decision to postpone work on the Well Protection Program at the January 12, 2011 Board meeting, no funding has been recommended for the program in the 2011-2012 fiscal year budget.

- Attachment C Funding
  - Funding is based on the provisions of the JPA.
  - Funding from all sources totals \$264,047.58.
- Attachment D Provides a breakdown of the overall budget
  - Means of financing:

	<ul> <li>Prior year fund balance:</li> </ul>	256,453
	<ul> <li>Contributions:</li> </ul>	264,048
	<ul> <li>Interest income:</li> </ul>	15,000
	• TOTAL:	\$535,501
0	Expenditures:	

- TOTAL: \$264,000
- Sufficient money remains in the fund balance to meet the 20 percent reserve requirement identified in the Authority's Policy and Procedures.

The proposed budget for SCGA and WPP was presented, discussed and approval recommended by the SCGA Budget Committee on May 04, 2011. Budget Committee members include Ed Crouse, Herb Niederberger, Jim Peifer, and Dave Ocenosak.

Staff recommends the Board approve the resolution adopting the fiscal year 2011-2012 budget recommendation for the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority.

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Action: Approve resolution adopting the Fiscal Year 2011/2012 budget recommendation for SCGA.

# SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY CALIFORNIA

For the Agenda of: May 11, 2011

To:	Board of Directors
	Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority

From: Staff

Subject: Adoption Of The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Budget, Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Trust Fund Budget , And Authorization To Collect Annual Contributions

Contact: Darrell K. Eck, Executive Director, 874-5039

# Overview

The Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (Authority) was established to maintain the long-term sustainable yield of the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Basin (Central Basin). The Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) between the City of Elk Grove, the City of Folsom, the City of Rancho Cordova, the City of Sacramento and the County of Sacramento creating the Authority provides the funding mechanism necessary to implement Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan (Central Basin GMP). Collection of the contributions described in the JPA and adoption of the Authority's 2011-2012 fiscal year budget provide the means for the Authority to implement the Central Basin GMPs administrative programs. The JPA also provides for the operation of any Well Protection Program (WPP) that may be prescribed by the Central Basin GMP. While current economic conditions have curtailed any activity on the WPP, adoption of a budget provides an administrative means to report on the status of the fund.

# Recommendations

Adopt Resolution No. 2011-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA; adopt the WPP Trust Fund budget for fiscal year 2011-2012.

Adoption Of The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Budget, Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Trust Fund Budget, And Authorization To Collect Annual Contributions

Page 2

# **BACKGROUND:**

On August 29, 2006 the Cities of Folsom, Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova and Sacramento and the County of Sacramento executed a joint powers agreement creating the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (Authority). The purpose of the Authority is to maintain the long-term sustainable yield of the Central Basin; ensure implementation of the Basin Management Objectives (BMOs) that are prescribed by the Central Basin GMP; oversee the operation of Well Protection Program prescribed by the Central Basin GMP; manage the use of groundwater in the Central Basin and facilitate implementation of an appropriate conjunctive use program by water purveyors; coordinate efforts among those entities represented on the governing body of the joint powers authority to devise and implement strategies to safeguard groundwater quality; and work collaboratively with other entities, including other groundwater management authorities that may be formed in the County of Sacramento and adjacent political jurisdictions, in order to promote coordination of policies and activities throughout the region.

On November 8, 2006 the Board adopted the Central Basin GMP. The Central Basin GMP reviews current and future water supply and demands and contains BMOs that address the rate of groundwater extraction, groundwater elevation, land surface subsidence, surface water flows and groundwater contamination. The Central Basin GMP also contains "trigger points" and remedies to ensure full implementation of the BMOs. It also provides for the protection of private groundwater wells and establishes cooperative relationships with Sacramento County's Environmental Management Department and other regulatory agencies to address groundwater contamination.

# **DISCUSSION:**

The proposed 2011-2012 fiscal year budget was developed based on the program requirements (GMP Related Expenses) described in the Central Basin GMP. The budget also provides for overhead expenses (Staff Expenses) including the Executive Director, Administration Support, Legal Counsel, and Financial support. The proposed budget also includes funding for consultant services (Consultant Expenses). Based on the Board's decision to postpone work on the Well Protection Program at the January 12, 2011 Board meeting, no funding has been recommended for the Well Protection Program (WPP) in the 2011-2012 fiscal year budget.

The proposed budget for SCGA and WPP was presented, discussed and approval recommended by the SCGA Budget Committee on May 4, 2011. Budget Committee members include Ed Crouse, Herb Niederberger, Jim Peifer, and Dave Ocenosak.

Staff recommends adoption of Resolution No. 2011-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA. Staff further recommends adoption of the aforesaid resolution for the WPP Trust Fund budget for fiscal year 2011-2012.

Adoption Of The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Budget, Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Trust Fund Budget, And Authorization To Collect Annual Contributions

Page 3

Attachments:

Resolution No. 2011-01

Attachment A – The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Authority's Budget

Attachment B – The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Trust Fund Budget

Attachment C – The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Annual Contribution

Attachment D – The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Authority's Budget Detail

Attachment E – The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Budget Detail

### **RESOLUTION NO.** 2011-01

# SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY RESOLUTION ADOPTING AND ASSIGNING COSTS TO FUND SCGA'S ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012 AND PROVIDE FOR THE COLLECTION OF ANNUAL CONTRIBUTIONS, AND ADOPTING AND ASSIGNING COSTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012 FOR THE WELL PROTECTION PROGRAM

WHEREAS, on August 29, 2006 the Joint Powers Agreement Between the City of Elk Grove, the City of Folsom, the City of Rancho Cordova, the City of Sacramento and the County of Sacramento Creating the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority ("JPA") established a separate public entity identified as the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority ("AUTHORITY") with its own Board of Directors ("BOARD"); and

WHEREAS, the AUTHORITY was created to maintain the long-term sustainable yield of the Central Basin in accordance with the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, the JPA provides for the collection of annual contributions to fund implementation of the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan;

**WHEREAS**, the JPA provides for the operation of any Well Protection Program that may be prescribed by the GMP; and

**WHEREAS**, the AUTHORITY's administrative budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 is specified in Attachment A. The budget includes projections of revenues, staff expenses, consultant expenses, office expenses and Groundwater Management Plan related expenses. The administrative budget is required to finance the administrative activities necessary to manage the Central Groundwater Basin; and

**WHEREAS**, the Well Protection Program Trust Fund's administrative and program budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 is specified in Attachment B. No funding is recommended for the Central Basin Well Protection Program for fiscal year 2011-2012 based on current economic conditions and pending improvement in the housing market. **NOW, THEREFORE**, be it resolved by the BOARD as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are correct and the BOARD so finds and determines.
- 2. The BOARD finds and determines that:
  - a. The SCGA administrative budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 as specified in Attachment A is hereby adopted; and
  - b. The Well Protection Program Trust Fund administrative and program budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 as specified in Attachment B is hereby adopted; and
  - c. The annual contribution for the SCGA administrative budget for fiscal year 2011-2012 budget will be collected from the contributors as directed in the JPA pursuant to Appendix C; and
  - d. Billing for the annual contribution shall be mailed not later than thirty (30) days following the adoption of this resolution with payment to be made within thirty (30) days of receipt of billing.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** by the BOARD at their regular board meeting on May 11, 2011.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Chair

#### ATTACHMENT A - Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Authority's Budget

#### FUND: Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (096B) ACTIVITY: Groundwater Supply Operations (0960001)

FISCAL YEAR: 2011-12

	Actual 2008-09	Actual 2009-2010	Adopted 2010-11	Estimate 2010-11	Requested 2011-12
MEANS OF FINANCING					
Reserves:					
Prior Year Fund Balance	146,529	(56,128)	157,749	157,749	256,453
Revenues:					
Contributions from other Agencies	263,336	267,146	268,461	268,461	264,048
Interfund Charges (Transfer In / Out) reimbursement from SCGA WPP fund	0	0	0	0	0
Reserve Release	0	0	0	0	0
Interest Income	29,685	4,741	15,000	2,500	15,000
AB303 Grant		130,927		119,037	
Total Means of Financing	439,550	346,686	441,210	547,747	535,501
FINANCING USES					
Provision for Reserves	86,145	0			
Interfund Charges (Transfer In / Out) reimbursement from SCGA WPP fund	00,110	0		0	
Salaries / Benefits	0	0	0	0	0
Services & Supplies	246,605	188,937	308,300	291,294	264,000
Other Charges	240,000	100,007	000,000	231,234	204,000
Encumbrance Roll to Next Fiscal Year	162,928	0	U	0	0
Total Financing Uses	495,678	188,937	308,300	291,294	264,000
	495,070	186,937	308,300	231,234	204,000
ENDING FUND BALANCE	(56,128)	157,749	132,910	256,453	271,501
See Attempt D for Budget Detail			· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

See Attachment D for Budget Detail

need reserve of 20% of expenditures. 52,800

#### ATTACHMENT B - Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Budget

### FUND: SCGA - Well Protection Program Trust (096C)

# ACTIVITY: Well Protection Program Operations (0961000)

FISCAL YEAR: 2011-12

	Actual 2008-09	Actual 2009-10	Adopted 2010-11	Estimate 2010-11	Requested 2011-12
MEANS OF FINANCING					
Prior Year Fund Balance	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues:					
Contributions from other Agencies	0	0	0	0	0
Interfund Charges (Transfer In / Out) Interest Income	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
Total Means of Financing	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCING USES					
Salaries / Benefits	0	0	0	0	0
Services & Supplies	0	0	0	0	0
Other Charges	0	0	0	0	0
Interfund Charges (Transfer In / Out) reimbursement to SCGA fund	0	0	0	0	0
Total Financing Uses	0	0	0	0	0
ENDING FUND BALANCE	0	0	0	0	0

See Attachment E for Budget Detail

#### ATTACHMENT C Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Funding (2011-2012)

	Annual Contribution				Annual Contribution/Ag/Res		
Board Members	Paragraph 8(d)(i)	Paragraph 8(d)(ii)		Paragraph 8(d)(iv)	Paragraph 8(d)(v)		
City of Folsom	\$ 10,000.00	••••••	•••••	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	\$ 10,000.00	
City of Rancho Cordova	\$ 10,000.00					\$ 10,000.00	
City of Sacramento	\$ 10,000.00					\$ 10,000.00	
City of Elk Grove	\$ 10,000.00					\$ 10,000.00	
County of Sacramento	\$ 10,000.00					\$ 10,000.00	
Agricultural Interests			\$	88,493.00		\$ 88,493.00	
Agriculture-Residential				\$	3,881.00	\$ 3,881.00	
Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied						\$ -	
Conservation Landowners						\$ -	
Public Agencies/Self Supplied						\$ -	
Elk Grove Water Service			\$ 449.19			\$ 449.19	
Omochumne-Hartnell Water District						\$ -	
Rancho Murieta CSD		\$ 6,000.00				\$ 6,000.00	
California-American Water Co.			\$ 37,917.57			\$ 37,917.57	
Golden State Water Company		\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,216.21			\$ 12,216.21	
Sacramento County Water Agency		\$ 6,000.00				\$ 65,090.61	
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District							
Total	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 103,673.58 \$	88,493.00 \$	3,881.00	\$ 264,047.58	
Annual Contribution/Groundwater is \$2.07/acre-f Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent	of the estimated annual	pumping (as determined by SCWA) a	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid or	ut of SCWA Zone 13 fun	ds		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is	of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated	pumping (as determined by SCWA) a lated annual pumping (as determined b	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou	ut of SCWA Zone 13 fun	ds Zone 13 funds		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent	of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated Pumping Amount	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ted annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot	ut of SCWA Zone 13 fun	ds		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors	25-percent of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated Pumping Amount (acre-feet)	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ted annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet)	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou by SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet)	t of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot)	ds Zone 13 funds Cost		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is <b>Groundwater/Purveyors</b> Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied	25-percent of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estima Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou by SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$	t of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar ted annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 0	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid out y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$	and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 5,217	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar ted annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 0 5000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$	and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 6 - 6 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 449.19		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochume-Hartnell Water District	of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 0 5,217 0	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou by SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD	25-percent of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 0 5,217 0 0 0	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0 0 0	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou by SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 217 \$ 0	xt of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co.	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 18,318 \$	xt of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA X Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 5,217 0 23,318 8,003	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0 5000 5000 5000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 18,318 3,003 \$	x and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co.	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 18,318 \$	xt of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA X Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 5,217 0 23,318 8,003	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0 5000 5000 5000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 18,318 3,003 \$	x and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41 Groundwater/Ag	of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 0 5,217 0 0 23,318 8,003 33,546	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 118,318 3,003 \$ 28,546 \$	Lut of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochume-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 5,217 0 23,318 8,003	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar pumping (as determined by tted annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0 5000 5000 5000 5000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 18,318 \$ 3,003 \$ 28,546 \$	x and paid out of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost Cost 		
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41 Groundwater/Ag Agricultural Interests	of the estimated annual 25-percent of the estimated Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 0 5,217 0 0 23,318 8,003 33,546	pumping (as determined by SCWA) ar ited annual pumping (as determined b Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5000 0 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid ou by SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 217 \$ 0 \$ 28,546 \$ 42750 \$	Lut of SCWA Zone 13 fun and paid out of SCWA 2 Rate (\$/acre-foot) 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$	ds Zone 13 funds Cost Cost 		

MEANS OF FINANCING	
Prior Year Fund Balance	\$ 256,453
Revenues:	
Contributions from Other Agencies	
Annual Contribution	\$ 50,000
Surface Water Contribution	\$ 18,000
Groundwater Contribution	\$ 103,674
Agricultural Contribution	\$ 88,493
Ag/Res Contribution	\$ 3,881
Subtotal of Contributions	\$ 264,048
Interest Income	\$ 15,000
Total Means of Financing	\$ 535,501
FINANCING USES	
Salaries/Benefits	\$ -
Services & Supplies:	
Staff Expenses	\$ 77,200
Consultant Expenses	\$ 80,000
Office Expenses	\$ 3,400
GMP Related Expenses	\$ 103,400
Well Protection Program	\$ -
Reporting Expenses	\$ -
Other Charges	\$ -
Total Financing Uses	\$ 264,000
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$ 271,501

ATTACHMENT D - Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Authority's Budget Break-down

MEANS OF FINANCING	
Prior Year Fund Balance	\$ -
Revenues:	
Well Protection Fee Collection	\$ -
Interfund Charges (Transfer In/Out)	\$ -
Interest Income	\$ -
Total Means of Financing	\$ -
FINANCING USES	
Salaries/Benefits	\$ -
Services & Supplies	
Well Impact Claims	\$ -
Well Registration	\$ -
Subtotal of Services & Supplies	\$ -
Other Charges	\$ -
Interfund Charges (Transfer In/Out)	
Reimburse to SCGA Fund	\$ -
Total Financing Uses	\$ -
ENDINg FUND BALANCE	\$ -

ATTACHMENT E - Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Well Protection Program Budget Break-down

# AGENDA ITEM 5: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a) California State Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM)
- b) Groundwater Management in California
- c) Restoration Advisory Board
- d) USGS Groundwater Quality in the Sacramento Valley
- e) South Area Water Council
- f) Sacramento Water Recycling Coalition

# TO: SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY BOARD FROM: DARRELL ECK RE: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- 6a) California State Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM) DWR recently provided an update to agencies that requested to serve as lead monitoring entities for a region indicating that the review process was still ongoing to approve monitoring entities. (SCGA has been approved as the lead monitoring entity for the Central Basin) DWR has indicated that its website for submitting CASGEM monitoring plans will be live May 18, 2011. Monitoring plans are due by summer and 2011 data must be submitted by January 1, 2012. SCGA staff has been coordinating with staff representing Placer County and the Sacramento Groundwater Authority on submitting consistent monitoring plans.
- 6b) **Groundwater Management in California** The Association of California Water Agencies, or ACWA, released the publication, Sustainability from the Ground Up, Groundwater Management in California, A Framework, in April 2011. The Framework was developed to, "describe current groundwater management efforts and identify proactive steps to advance sustainable groundwater management as part of the state's overall water management portfolio." The Framework also emphasizes that the challenge of providing sustainable groundwater management, "must be met by local and regional agencies..." A copy of the Framework can be found at:

http://www.acwa.com/content/groundwater/sustainability-ground-frameworkgroundwater-management-california

6c) Restoration Advisory Board – The Department of the Air Force is contemplating adjourning the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) for Mather. This will be a topic of discussion at the next RAB meeting scheduled for May 25, 2011 at 6:00 pm at the Courtyard Rancho Cordova located at 10683 White Rock Road. Additional information on site remediation activities can be found at:

http://www.safie.hq.af.mil/afrpa/legacybrac/mather/index.asp

6d) **USGS Groundwater Quality in the Sacramento Valley** – The United States Geologic Survey in cooperation with the California State Water Resources Control Board has published a report on the status of groundwater quality in the Sacramento Valley. Staff will be reviewing this document to determine if there is information that should be included in the HydroDMS. A copy of the report can be found at:

http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5002/

6e) South Area Water Council – The South Area Water Council has released a working draft of their South Basin Groundwater Management Plan (GMP). This document will be the topic of discussion at their next scheduled meeting at 6:00 pm at the Sacramento County Farm Bureau, 8970 Elk Grove Boulevard, Elk Grove. A copy of the working draft GMP can be found at:

http://www.ohwd.org/southgmp.html

6f) **Sacramento Water Recycling Coalition** – The Sacramento Water Recycling Coalition has developed a website to track and report on their various activities. Their website is:

http://www.srcsd.com/swrc-info.php

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE



AIR FORCE REAL PROPERTY AGENCY

2011 APR 19 AM 10: 39

APR 1 1 2011

AFRPA Western Region Execution Center 3411 Olson Street McClellan CA 95652-1003

**Dear Mather Community** 

After Mather Air Force Base (AFB) closed in 1993, the Air Force set up a Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) to answer questions and solicit advice about the environmental cleanup. Since 1994, the RAB has been the Air Force's main way of communicating with community members about the cleanup. A few dedicated RAB members have attended these meetings faithfully; however, over time, public attendance has dwindled significantly.

When the program began decades ago, we knew little about the condition of the property. Today, we have more than one million data points to help us track and monitor Mather's environmental condition. Currently, 75 of 89 sites are cleaned up and all Records of Decision are complete. The 14 remaining sites are being cleaned or awaiting official closure documentation. All cleanup systems are in place and operating properly. The cleanup will continue until it is finished. Meanwhile, safe drinking water at Mather is supplied by the Sacramento County Water Agency.

Over the past year, we've been discussing if it's time to adjourn the RAB. In public meetings and informal settings, we've listened to what the last few RAB members think about this. We've also been talking with our federal and state regulatory agencies. There are several reasons the Air Force is seeking public input on adjourning the RAB at this time. One is a lack of community interest. Another reason is that all major decisions have been made. And the final reason is that the primary property recipient, Sacramento County, is well-informed and pleased with the progress to date. These are the reasons why I am considering adjourning the Mather RAB.

Adjournment has no effect on the cleanup at Mather. The Air Force is required by law to complete the cleanup. We'll also continue to provide the status of remaining efforts. A public website <u>www.safie.hq.af.mil/afrpa/legacybrac/mather/index.asp</u> provides updated information, and questions can be directed to the AFRPA Public Affairs Officer at (916) 643-6420, ext. 257. Requests by community members for briefings, tours, and other information can also be made by calling Public Affairs.

The former Mather AFB now offers jobs, housing, and many recreational amenities to the community. We are proud of the part the Air Force has played in managing the environmental cleanup that enabled this impressive transformation. If you have any questions or concerns about the cleanup at Mather, or RAB adjournment, I suggest that you attend the May 25<sup>th</sup> RAB meeting, at 6 p.m. at Courtyard Sacramento Rancho Cordova, located at 10683 White Rock Road in Rancho Cordova.

Sincerely

Pip H Moolf

PHILIP H. MOOK JR. Senior Representative